Category Theory at Work H. Herrlich, H.-E. Porst (eds.) © Heldermann Verlag Berlin 1991 47-55

Point Separation Axioms, Monotopological Categories and MacNeille Completions

G. Preuß

Abstract. Using results of ALDERTON, HERRLICH, PREUß, and SCHWARZ the interrelations between classes of objects (in a topological category) defined by "point separation axioms", monotopological categories and MACNEILLE completions are clarified.

§0 Introduction

Generalizing quasicomponents introduced by HAUSDORFF [3] to $\mathcal P$ -quasicomponents (cf. PREUß [8]) for each class $\mathcal P$ of topological spaces one obtains important classes of topological spaces defined by point separation axioms as classes $Q\mathcal P$ of totally $\mathcal P$ -separated spaces, i.e. spaces whose $\mathcal P$ -quasicomponents are singletons, for suitable $\mathcal P$'s. Replacing the category Top of topological spaces (and continuous maps) by an arbitrary topological category $\mathcal C$ in the sense of HERRLICH [5] and introducing classes $Q\mathcal P$ of totally $\mathcal P$ -separated objects of $\mathcal C$ for each class $\mathcal P$ of $\mathcal C$ -objects the problem of characterizing these classes arises. It turns out that they are identical with the object classes of the extremal epireflective subcategories of $\mathcal C$.

NEL [7] has introduced initially structured categories, which are now also called monotopological categories, as a generalization of topological categories in order to exclude no longer such an important category as the category Haus of HAUSDORFF spaces (and continuous maps) from consideration. Using results of HERRLICH [4], SCHWARZ [10] has shown that a concrete category is monotopological iff it is an extremal epireflective subcategory of some topological category.

The classical construction of the MACNEILLE completion of a poset was generalized by HERRLICH [6] to the construction of the MACNEILLE completion of a concrete category. Since MACNEILLE completions of concrete categories need not always exist, it is remarkable that a monotopological category $\mathcal C$ may be characterized as a (concrete) category which is an extremal epireflective subcategory (up to concrete isomorphim) of a topological category being a MACNEILLE completion of $\mathcal C$ (cf. ALDERTON [1]).

Thus, a concrete category $\mathcal C$ is monotopological iff its object class is a class $Q\mathcal P$ of totally $\mathcal P$ -separated objects with respect to a topological category which is a MACNEILLE completion of $\mathcal C$.

§1 Preliminaries

- 1.1. Let \mathcal{X} be a fixed category, called base category. A concrete category over \mathcal{X} is a pair $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, where \mathcal{A} is a category and $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$ a functor which is
 - (1) faithful,
- (2) amnestic, i.e. any A -isomorphism f is an A -identity iff $\mathcal{F}(f)$ is an \mathcal{X} -identity, and
 - (3) transportable, i.e. for each $\mathcal A$ -object A, each $\mathcal X$ -object B and each isomorphism $q:B\to \mathcal F(A)$, there exists a unique $\mathcal A$ -object C and an isomorphism $\overline q:C\to A$ with $\mathcal F(\overline q)=q$,

called the underlying functor of (A, \mathcal{F}) . Occasionally, (A, \mathcal{F}) is denoted by A too.

- 1.2 Definitions. $\boxed{1}$ Let $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$ be a functor. A pair $(A, (f_i: A \to A_i)_{i \in I})$, where A is an \mathcal{A} -object and $(f_i: A \to A_i)_{i \in I}$ a class -indexed family of \mathcal{A} -morphisms each with domain A, called a source in \mathcal{A} , is \mathcal{F} -initial iff for each source $(B, (g_i: B \to A_i)_{i \in I})$ in \mathcal{A} and each \mathcal{X} -morphism $f: \mathcal{F}(B) \to \mathcal{F}(A)$ such that $\mathcal{F}(f_i) \circ f = \mathcal{F}(g_i)$ for each $i \in I$, there exists a unique \mathcal{A} -morphism $\overline{f}: B \to A$ with $\mathcal{F}(\overline{f}) = f$ and $f_i \circ \overline{f} = g_i$ for each $i \in I$. Dually: sink, \mathcal{F} -final.
- 3 A concrete category (A, \mathcal{F}) over the category Set of sets (and maps) is called *topological* (resp. *monotopological*) provided that the following are satisfied:
 - (a) $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathbf{Set}$ is topological (resp. monotopological).
 - (b) $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \text{Set}$ has small fibres, i.e. for such $X \in |\text{Set}|, \{A \in |\mathcal{A}|: \mathcal{F}(A) = X\}$ is a set.
 - (c) There is precisely one object P in A (up to isomorphism) such that $\mathcal{F}(P)$ is a singleton (= terminal separator).
- 1.3 Remarks. 1 Let $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ be a concrete category over \mathcal{X} . Then $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$ is topological iff it is *cotopological*, i.e. for each class-indexed family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ of \mathcal{A} -objects and each sink $((f_i: \mathcal{F}(A_i) \to X)_{i \in I}, X)$ in \mathcal{X} there exists a unique \mathcal{F} -final sink $((g_i: A_i \to A)_{i \in I}, A)$ in \mathcal{A} with $\mathcal{F}(A) = X$ and $\mathcal{F}(g_i) = f_i$ for each $i \in I$.
- 2 A concrete category $\mathcal A$ over Set may be considered to be a category $\mathcal A$ whose objects are structured sets, i.e. pairs (X,ξ) where X is a set and ξ is an $\mathcal A$ -structure on X, whose morphism $f:(X,\xi)\to (Y,\eta)$ are suitable maps between X and Y and whose composition law is the usual composition of maps. Thus, (a) may be replaced by

- (a') For any set X, any family ((X_i, ξ_i))_{i∈I} of A-objects indexed by a class I and any family (f_i: X → X_i)_{i∈I} (resp. any family (f_i: X → X_i)_{i∈I} such that for each pair (x, y) ∈ X × X with x ≠ y there exists some i ∈ I with f_i(x) ≠ f_i(y)) indexed by I there exists a unique A-structure ξ on X which is initial with respect to (X, f_i, (X_i, ξ_i), I), i.e. such that for any A-object (Y, η) a map g: (Y, η) → (X, ξ) is an A-morphism iff for every i ∈ I the composite map f_i o g: (Y, η) → (X_i, ξ_i) is an A-morphism.
- 3 Monotopological categories are also called initially structured categories (cf. [9]).
- 4 Each monotopological category is topological. The converse is not true (cf. the following examples).
- 1.4 Examples. The categories Top, Unif. Prox, Near, Lim and Prost consisting of topological spaces, uniform spaces, proximity spaces, nearness spaces, limit spaces, and preordered sets respectively are topological categories whereas the categories Haus and Poset consisting of HAUSDORFF spaces and partially ordered sets respectively are monotopological but not topological. In each case the underlying functor is the usual forgetful functor into Set. For further details see [9].
- 1.5. In the following subcategories of a category C are always assumed to be full and isomorphism-closed (a subcategory A of a category C is isomorphism-closed iff each C -object being isomorphic to some A -object is an A -object).

Definition: If \mathcal{A} is a subcategory of some category \mathcal{C} and $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ denotes the inclusion functor, then \mathcal{A} is called *reflective* (resp. *coreflective*) in \mathcal{C} provided that one of the following (equivalent) conditions is satisfied:

- (1) I has a left-adjoint R (resp. right-adjoint R_c) called a reflector (resp. a coreflector).
- (2) For each C -object X , there exist an A -object X_A and an C -morphism r_X: X → X_A called an A -reflection of X (resp. m_X: X_A → X called an A -coreflection of X) such that for each A -object Y and each C -morphism f: X → Y (resp. f: Y → X) there is a unique A -morphism (= C -morphism) f̄: X_A → Y (resp. f̄: Y → X_A) such that f̄ ∘ r_X = f (resp. m_X ∘ f̄ = f). Further, A is called epireflective (monocoreflective), extremal epireflective (extremal monocoreflective) or bireflective (bicoreflective) in C respectively provided that A is reflective (coreflective) in C and for each C -object X, the A -reflections (A -coreflections) of X are epimorphisms (monomorphisms), extremal epimorphisms (extremal monomorphisms) or bimorphisms respectively.
- 1.6 Proposition (cf. [9; 2.2.12] for the proof). Any bireflective (and any bicoreflective) subcategory of a topological category is a topological category.
- 1.7 Remark. Similar to the situation for the category Top we obtain that in topological categories extremal monomorphisms coincide with embeddings and extremal epimorphisms coincide with quotient maps. Furthermore, the following proposition is valid:

- 1.8 Proposition. Let $\mathcal A$ be a subcategory of a topological category $\mathcal C$. Then the following hold:
 - (1) \mathcal{A} is epireflective (extremal epireflective) in \mathcal{C} iff \mathcal{A} is closed under formation of products and subobjects [= extremal monomorphisms] (weak subobjects [= monomorphisms]) in \mathcal{C} .
 - (2) If A contains at least one object with non-empty underlying set, then the following are equivalent:
 - (a) A is coreflective in C.
 - (b) A is bicoreflective in C.
 - (c) A is closed under formation of coproducts and quotient objects [= extremal epimorphisms] in C.
 - (3) \mathcal{A} has a monocoreflective hull, i.e. a smallest monocoreflective subcategory (of \mathcal{C}) containing \mathcal{A} , whose object class consists of all \mathcal{C} -objects which are quotient objects of coproducts of \mathcal{A} -objects (quotient objects and coproducts are formed in \mathcal{C} !).
- 1.9 Definitions. \square A concrete category $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ over \mathcal{X} is called *initially complete* provided that $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$ is topological.
- $\boxed{2} \ \text{If} \ (\mathcal{A},\mathcal{F}) \ \text{and} \ (\mathcal{B},\mathcal{G}) \ \text{are concrete categories over} \ \mathcal{X} \ . \ \text{then a functor} \\ \mathcal{H}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B} \ \text{is called}$
 - a) concrete provided that $G \circ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{F}$.
 - b) initiality preserving provided that it is concrete and for each \mathcal{F} -initial source $\left(A, (f_i: A \to A_i)_{i \in I}\right)$ in \mathcal{A} , the source $\left(\mathcal{H}(A), \left(\mathcal{H}(f_i): \mathcal{H}(A) \to \mathcal{H}(A_i)\right)_{i \in I}\right)$ is \mathcal{G} -initial in \mathcal{B} ,
 - c) initially dense provided that it is concrete and for each $B \in |B|$, there exists a \mathcal{G} -initial source $(B,(g_i:B \to \mathcal{H}(A_i))_{i\in I})$,
 - d) finally dense provided that it is concrete and for each $B \in |B|$, there exists a \mathcal{G} -final sink $((g_i : \mathcal{H}(A_i) \to B)_{i \in I}, B)$.
- 3 An initial completion of a concrete category $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ is an initiality preserving initially dense full (concrete) embedding $\mathcal{H}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ from $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ into some initially complete category $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$. Occasionally $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ is already called an initial completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ provided that $\mathcal{H}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ is an initial completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$.
- 4 A finally-dense initial completion of a concrete category (A, \mathcal{F}) , if it exists, is called a MACNEILLE completion of (A, \mathcal{F}) .
- 1.10 Proposition (cf. [9; 6.1.3]). Let $\mathcal{H}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ be a concrete functor which is full and finally dense. Then \mathcal{H} is initiality preserving.

1.11 Example. Each poset (S, \leq) defines a (small) category A by

1)
$$|A| = S$$
 and

2)
$$[s, s']_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} \{(s, s')\} & \text{if } s \leq s' \\ \phi & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{A} is a concrete category over the category \mathcal{X} consisting of exactly one object X and one morphism 1_X (the underlying functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{X}$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}(s) = X$ for each $s \in |\mathcal{A}| = S$ and $\mathcal{F}(f) = 1_X$ for each $f \in \operatorname{Mor} \mathcal{A}$). If N(S) denotes the set of all cuts in (S, \leq) and \subset the the set-theoretic inclusion, then there is an embedding $\mu_S: (S, \leq) \to (N(S), \subset)$ of (S, \leq) into the complete lattice $(N(S), \subset)$, known as the classical MACNEILLE completion of (S, \leq) . Analogous to the construction of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, a concrete category $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ over \mathcal{X} is constructed from $(N(S), \subset)$. $\mathcal{H}: (\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \to (\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{G})$ defined by $\mathcal{H}(s) = \mu_S(s)$ for each $s \in S = |\mathcal{A}|$ and $\mathcal{H}((s, s')) = (\mu_S(s), \mu_S(s'))$ if $s \leq s'$ is a finally dense initial completion of $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, i.e. a MACNEILLE completion in the sense defined above.

§2 Relative (connectedness and) disconnectedness

- 2.1. For a topological category C the category of pairs with respect to C is denoted by $C_{(2)}$, i.e.
 - (1) objects of $C_{(2)}$ are pairs $((X,\xi),(Y,\eta))$ where (X,ξ) is an object in C, Y a subset of X and η the initial C-structure with respect to $(Y,i,(X,\xi))$ where $i:Y\to X$ is the inclusion map.
 - (2) morphisms $f:((X,\xi),(Y,\eta))\to ((X',\xi'),(Y',\eta'))$ are morphisms $f:(X,\xi)\to (X',\xi')$ in $\mathcal C$ such that $f[Y]\subset Y'$.

For simplicity one often writes $(X,Y) \in |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$ instead of $((X,\xi),(Y,\eta)) \in |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$. If $(X,Y) \in |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$ and $f:X \to X'$ is a \mathcal{C} -morphism, then one writes usually $f \mid Y$ instead of $f \circ i$, where $i:Y \to X$ is the inclusion map.

- 2.2 Definitions. \square Let \mathcal{P} be a subclass of $|\mathcal{C}|$:
 - (a) Let $(X,Y) \in |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$. Y is called \mathcal{P} -connected with respect to X iff $f \mid Y$ is constant for each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and each \mathcal{C} -morphism $f: X \to P$.
 - (b) $C_{rel}\mathcal{P} = \{(X,Y) \in |\mathcal{C}_2| : Y \text{ is } \mathcal{P} \text{-connected with respect to } X\}$.
 - (c) $\mathcal{K} \subset |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$ is called a relative connectedness iff $\mathcal{K} = C_{rel} \mathcal{P}$ for some $\mathcal{P} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$.

 [2] Let \mathcal{K} be a subclass of $|\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$:
 - (a) $D_{rel} \mathcal{K} = \{ Z \in |\mathcal{C}| : f \mid Y \text{ is constant for each } \mathcal{C} \text{-morphism } f : X \to Z \text{ and each } Y \subset X \text{ satisfying } (X, Y) \in \mathcal{K} \}$.
 - (b) $\mathcal{P} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$ is called a relative disconnectedness iff $\mathcal{P} = D_{rel} \mathcal{K}$ for some $\mathcal{K} \subset |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$.

2.3 Remarks. $\boxed{1} Q = D_{rel} C_{rel}$ is a hull operator, i.e. Q is extensive ($\mathcal{P} \subset Q\mathcal{P}$ for each $\mathcal{P} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$), isotonic ($\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{Q} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$ implies $Q\mathcal{P} \subset Q\mathcal{Q}$) and idempotent (QQ = Q). [Analogously, $P = C_{rel} D_{rel}$ is a hull operator.]

2 A subclass \mathcal{P} of $|\mathcal{C}|$ is a relative disconnectedness iff $\mathcal{P} = Q\mathcal{P}$ (Analogously, $\mathcal{K} \subset |\mathcal{C}_{(2)}|$ is a relative connectedness iff $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{PK}$).

There is a one-to-one correspondence between the relative connectednesses of $|C_{(2)}|$ and the relative disconnectednesses of |C| which converts the inclusion relation (Galois correspondence), and is obtained by the operators C_{rel} and D_{rel} .

4 Let \mathcal{C} be a topological category and $\mathcal{P} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$. For each $X \in |\mathcal{C}|$ and each $x \in X$ the union K_x of all Y with $(X,Y) \in C_{rel}\mathcal{P}$ and $x \in Y$ is \mathcal{P} -connected with respect to X and called the \mathcal{P} -quasicomponent of X containing x. Obviously, the \mathcal{P} -quasicomponents of X form a decomposition of X. In particular, if $\mathcal{C} = \text{Top}$ and $\mathcal{P} = \{D_2\}$ (D_2 : two-point discrete topological space), then the \mathcal{P} -quasicomponents of a topological space X are nothing else than the quasicomponents of X in the usual sense, originally defined by HAUSDORFF [3].

- **2.4** Proposition. Let \mathcal{C} be a topological category, $\mathcal{P} \subset |\mathcal{C}|$ and $X \in |\mathcal{C}|$. Then the following are equivalent:
 - (1) $X \in Q\mathcal{P}$.
 - (2) For each $x \in X$, the \mathcal{P} -quasicomponent K_x of X containing x is a singleton.
 - (3) For any two distinct elements $x, y \in X$ there exists an object $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and a \mathcal{C} -morphism $f: X \to P$ such that $f(x) \neq f(y)$.

Proof. The equivalence of (2) and (3) is obvious.

- (1) \Rightarrow (2). The identity $1_X: X \to X$ is a \mathcal{C} -morphism, and since $(X, K_x) \in C_{rel}\mathcal{P}$ for each $x \in X$, $1_X \mid K_x: K_x \to X$ is constant, because $X \in D_{rel}C_{rel}\mathcal{P}$. Thus, K_x is a singleton.
- (2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $f: Y \to X$ be a \mathcal{C} -morphism and $Z \subset Y$ such that $(Y, Z) \in C_{rel} \mathcal{P}$. Then, obviously, $(X, f[Z]) \in C_{rel} \mathcal{P}$. Thus, f[Z] is contained in a \mathcal{P} -quasicomponent of X which is a singleton. Therefore $f \mid Z$ is constant.
- 2.5 Definition. The elements of QP are called totally P -separated.
- 2.6 Examples for the category Top.
 - a) Let $\mathcal{P} = \{S\}$ where S is the SIERPINSKI space $(\{0,1\}, \{\phi, \{0\}, \{0,1\}\})$. Then $Q\{S\} = \{T_0 \text{ -spaces}\}$.
 - b) Let $\mathcal{P} = \{T_0 \text{ -spaces}\} : Q\mathcal{P} = \{T_0 \text{ -spaces}\}$ (Apply a) and note QQ = Q!).
 - $\boxed{2}$ a) $\mathcal{P} = \{ ext{spaces with the cofinite topology} \} : <math> Q\mathcal{P} = \{ T_1 \text{ -spaces} \}$.
 - b) $\mathcal{P} = \{T_1 \text{ -spaces}\} : Q\mathcal{P} = \{T_1 \text{ -spaces}\}\ (\text{Apply a}) \text{ and note } QQ = Q!\).$

- $\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{URYSOHN -spaces} \} : Q\mathcal{P} = \{ \text{URYSOHN -spaces} \}.$
- $\mathcal{P} = \{I\!\!R\} \text{ , where } I\!\!R \text{ denotes the set of real numbers endowed with the usual topology}$ or $\mathcal{P} = \{[0,1]\} \text{ (} [0,1]: \text{ closed unit interval endowed with the topology induced by } I\!\!R \text{) : } Q\mathcal{P} = \{\text{completely Hausdorff spaces}\}.$
- $\mathcal{P} = \{D_2\} : Q\mathcal{P} = \{\text{totally separated spaces}\}.$
- 2.7 Theorem. Let \mathcal{C} be a topological category, \mathcal{P} a subclass of $|\mathcal{C}|$ such that the full subcategory \mathcal{A} of \mathcal{C} defined by $|\mathcal{A}| = \mathcal{P}$ is isomorphism-closed. Then the following are equivalent:
 - (1) \mathcal{P} is a relative disconnectedness.
 - (2) \mathcal{A} is an extremal epireflective subcategory of \mathcal{C} .
- Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). Since $\mathcal{P} = Q\mathcal{P}$ it suffices to prove that $Q\mathcal{P}$ is closed under formation of weak subobjects and products in \mathcal{C} : Let $(f_i: X \to X_i)_{i \in I}$ be a mono-source in \mathcal{C} such that $X_i \in Q\mathcal{P}$ for each $i \in I$. If $a, b \in X$ with $a \neq b$, then there is some $i \in I$ such that $f_i(a) \neq f_i(b)$. Since $X_i \in Q\mathcal{P}$, there exists some $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and some C-morphism $f: X_i \to P$ with $f(f_i(a)) \neq f(f_i(b))$. Thus $h = f \circ f_i: X \to P$ is a C-morphism such that $h(a) \neq h(b)$ i.e. $X \in Q\mathcal{P}$ (cf. 2.4.).
- (2) \Rightarrow (1). It suffices to prove $Q\mathcal{P} \subset \mathcal{P}$ ($\mathcal{P} \subset Q\mathcal{P}$ is always true). If $X \in Q\mathcal{P}$, then there exists an \mathcal{A} -reflection $e: X \to Y$ which is an extremal epimorphism. Let $x, y \in X$ such that $x \neq y$. Then there exists some $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and some \mathcal{C} -morphism $f: X \to P$ with $f(x) \neq f(y)$. Since e is an \mathcal{A} -reflection there exists a unique \mathcal{C} -morphism $\overline{f}: Y \to P$ with $\overline{f} \circ e = f$. Hence $e(x) \neq e(y)$. Consequently, e is injective, i.e. a monomorphism. Thus e is an isomorphism. Since \mathcal{A} is isomorphism-closed, $X \in |\mathcal{A}| = \mathcal{P}$.
 - §3 Relations between topological categories, monotopological categories and MACNEILLE completions
- 3.1 Theorem (HERRLICH, SCHWARZ, ALDERTON). For a concrete category C over Set the following are equivalent:
 - (1) C is monotopological.
 - (2) C is (concretely isomorphic to) an epireflective subcategory of a topological category.
 - (3) C is (concretely isomorphic to) an epireflective subcategory of a topological category which is a MACNEILLE completion of C.
 - (4) C is (concretely isomorphic to) an extremal epireflective subcategory of a topological category.
 - (5) C is (concretely isomorphic to) an extremal epireflective subcategory of a topological category which is a MACNEILLE completion of C.

Proof. It suffices to prove the implications "(2) \Rightarrow (1)" and "(1) \Rightarrow (5)":

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Since \mathcal{C} is an epireflective subcategory of a topological category \mathcal{B} , it coincides with its epireflective hull whose object class consists of all $X \in |\mathcal{B}|$ for which there exists an initial mono-source $(f_i: X \to X_i)_{i \in I}$ with $X_i \in |\mathcal{C}|$. If X is a set, $((X_i, \xi_i))_{i \in I}$ a family of \mathcal{C} -objects and $(f_i: X \to X_i)_{i \in I}$ a mono-source in Set, then there exists a unique initial \mathcal{B} -structure ξ on X with respect to $(X, f_i, (X_i, \xi_i), I)$. Thus $(f_i: (X, \xi) \to (X_i, \xi_i))_{i \in I}$ is an initial mono-source in \mathcal{B} and (X, ξ) belongs to $|\mathcal{C}|$. Therefore, ξ is the desired initial \mathcal{C} -structure. The remaining statements follow immediately from the corresponding properties of \mathcal{B} .

(1) \Rightarrow (5). Let \mathcal{B} be the following category: objects are all triples (X, e, A) such that $X \in |\operatorname{Set}|$, $A \in |\mathcal{C}|$ and $e: X \to \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)$ is an epimorphism where $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C} \to \operatorname{Set}$ denotes the underlying functor; morphisms from (X, e, A) to (X', e', A') are all pairs (f, g) with $f: X \to X'$, $g: A \to A'$ and $e' \circ f = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(g) \circ e$; and the composition of morphisms is defined componentwise. A functor $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}: \mathcal{B} \to \operatorname{Set}$ is defined by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}((X, e, A)) = X$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}((f, g)) = f$. Then $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}}$ is topological (cf. [4; 9.1.]). The full subcategory \mathcal{B}' of \mathcal{B} defined by

$$|\mathcal{B}'| = \{(X, e, A) \in |\mathcal{B}| : \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A) \subset X\}$$

obviously satisfies all properties of a topological category with the exception of the uniqueness of initial structures. Now an equivalence relation \sim on $|\mathcal{B}'|$ is defined by

$$(X, e, A) \sim (X', e', A')$$
 iff
$$\begin{cases} X = X' & \text{and there exists an isomorphism} \\ g : A \to A' & \text{with } \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(g) \circ e = e' \end{cases}$$

If $|\mathcal{B}''|$ is a system of representatives of \sim containing $|\mathcal{C}''| = \{(\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A), 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)}, A) : A \in |\mathcal{C}|\}$ (note, that $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is amnestic), then the corresponding full subcategory \mathcal{B}'' of \mathcal{B}' is a topological category. Moreover, the full subcategory \mathcal{C}'' of \mathcal{B}' defined by $|\mathcal{C}''|$ as above is an isomorphism-closed extremal epireflective subcategory of \mathcal{B}'' , where (obviously)

 $(e, 1_A): (X, e, A) \to (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A), 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)}, A)$ is the extremal epireflection of $(X, e, A) \in |\mathcal{B}''|$ with respect to \mathcal{C}'' . A (concrete) functor $\mathcal{H}: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{B}''$ is defined by $\mathcal{H}(A) = (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A), 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)}, A)$ for each $A \in |\mathcal{C}|$ and $\mathcal{H}(f) = (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(f), f)$ for each $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{H} is a full embedding. Then $\mathcal{H}': \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}''$ defined by $\mathcal{H}'(A) = \mathcal{H}(A)$ for each $A \in |\mathcal{C}|$ and $\mathcal{H}'(f) = \mathcal{H}(f)$ for each $f \in \text{Mor } \mathcal{C}$ is an isomorphism. Let \mathcal{D} be the monocoreflective (= bicoreflective) hull of \mathcal{C}'' in \mathcal{B}'' (cf. 1.8 (3)). Then, obviously, the inclusion functor $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{D}$ is finally dense and \mathcal{D} is topological (cf. 1.6). Moreover, $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{D}$ is initially dense and \mathcal{C}'' is extremal epireflective in \mathcal{D} (note: $(e, 1_A): (X, e, A) \to (\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A), 1_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}(A)}, A)$) is the extremal epireflection of $(X, e, A) \in |\mathcal{D}|$ with respect to \mathcal{C}'' and (e, A) is the initial \mathcal{D} -structure on X with respect to $(e, 1_A)$). By 1.10, $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{D}$ is initiality preserving. Thus $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{C}'' \to \mathcal{D}$ is a MACNEILLE completion of \mathcal{C}'' .

3.2 Corollary. A concrete category $\mathcal C$ over Set is monotopological iff its object class $\mid \mathcal C \mid$ is a relative disconnectedness with respect to a topological category which is a MACNEILLE completion of $\mathcal C$.

Proof. Apply 2.7 and 3.1.

3.3 Example. Let \mathcal{D} be the category of regular spaces (resp. completely regular spaces) [and continuous maps] and \mathcal{C} the category of T_3 -spaces [= regular T_1 -spaces] (resp. TYCHONOFF

spaces [= completely regular T_1 -spaces]). Then $\mathcal D$ is topological and a MACNEILLE completion of $\mathcal C$. Furthermore, $\mid \mathcal C \mid$ is a relative disconnectedness (i.e. $\mid \mathcal C \mid = Q \mid \mathcal C \mid$) with respect to $\mathcal D$. (Thus, $\mathcal C$ is monotopological).

3.4 Remarks. $\[]$ ALDERTON [2; 2.2] has shown that the MacNeille completion $\widehat{\mathcal{H}}=\mathcal{I}\circ\mathcal{H}':\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{D}$ of a monotopological category \mathcal{C} as constructed above has the following additional property: If $\mathcal{K}:\mathcal{C}\to\widehat{\mathcal{C}}$ is any initial completion of \mathcal{C} , then there exists a full concrete embedding $\mathcal{E}:\widehat{\mathcal{C}}\to\mathcal{D}$ such that $\mathcal{E}\circ\mathcal{K}=\widehat{\mathcal{H}}$. Hence, any initial completion of a monotopological category \mathcal{C} is a MacNeille completion of \mathcal{C} .

2 An object X of a topological category $\mathcal C$ is called a T_0 -object provided that each $\mathcal C$ -morphism from a two-point indiscrete object (i.e. a two-point set endowed with the initial $\mathcal C$ -structure with respect to the empty index class) to X is constant. Obviously, the T_0 -objects of $\mathcal C$ form a relative disconnectedness. WECK-SCHWARZ [11] has shown, that the object class of a properly monotopological category $\mathcal C$ (i.e. a monotopological category which is not topological) consists of all T_0 -objects of its MacNeille completion being a topological category.

References

- [1] ALDERTON, I.W.: Cartesian closedness and the MACNEILLE completion of an initially structured category. Quaest. Math. 8, 63-78 (1985).
- [2] ALDERTON, I.W.: Initial completions of monotopological categories, and cartesian closedness. Quaest. Math. 8, 361-379 (1986).
- [3] HAUSDORFF, F.: Grundzüge der Mengenlehre. Leipzig. 1914.
- [4] HERRLICH, H.: Topological functors. Gen. Top. Appl. 4, 125-142 (1974).
- [5] HERRLICH, H.: Cartesian closed topological categories. Math. Coll. Univ. Cape Town 9. 1-16 (1974).
- [6] HERRLICH, H.: Initial completions. Math. Zeitschrift 150, 101-110 (1976).
- [7] NEL, L.D.: Initially structured categories and cartesian closedness. Canad. J. Math. 27, 1361-1377 (1975).
- [8] PREUB, G.: Trennung und Zusammenhang. Monatsh. Math. 74, 70-87 (1970).
- [9] PREUß, G.: Theory of Topological Structures. D. Reidel: Dordrecht-Boston-Lancaster-Tokyo. 1988.
- [10] SCHWARZ, F.: Funktionenräume und exponentiale Objekte in punktetrennend initialen Kategorien. Thesis. Univ. Bremen. 1983.
- [11] WECK-SCHWARZ, S.: Cartesisch abgeschlossene monotopologische Hüllen und die Rolle der T₀-Reflexion. Thesis. Univ. Hannover. 1988.