

**Eleanor F. Clifford**

**Extending a Theorem of Bergweiler and Langley Concerning Non-Vanishing Derivatives**

CMFT 4 No.2 (2004), 327–339. [ISSN 1617-9447]

**Abstract.** We consider the differential operator  $\Lambda_k$  defined by

$$\Lambda_k(y) = \Psi_k(y) + a_{k-1}\Psi_{k-1}(y) + \cdots + a_1\Psi_1(y) + a_0,$$

where  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}$  are analytic functions of restricted growth and  $\Psi_k(y)$  is a differential operator defined by  $\Psi_1(y) = y$  and  $\Psi_{k+1}(y) = y\Psi_k(y) + (\Psi_k(y))'$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . We suppose that  $k \geq 3$ , that  $F$  is a meromorphic function on an annulus  $\mathcal{A}(r_0)$ , and that  $\Lambda_k(F)$  has all its zeros on a set  $E$  such that  $E$  has no limit point in  $\mathcal{A}(r_0)$ . We suppose also that all simple poles  $a$  of  $F$  in  $\mathcal{A}(r_0) \setminus E$  have  $\text{res}(F, a) \notin \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ . We then deduce that  $F$  is a function of restricted growth in the Nevanlinna sense. This extends a theorem of Bergweiler and Langley [1]. We show also that this result does not hold when  $a_0, \dots, a_{k-1}$  are meromorphic functions.

**Keywords.** Meromorphic functions, Nevanlinna theory.

**2000 MSC.** 30D30, 30D35.

**Received.** June 18th, 2004.

- 1 W. Bergweiler and J. K. Langley, Non-vanishing derivatives and normal families, *J. Anal. Math.* **91** (2003), 353–367.